

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

OB /04 7 608 Washington, D.C. 20

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/047,068 04/16/93 WEINBERG

FILING DATE

20039800646

18N1/0514

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

NIXON AND VANDERHYE, P.C. 1100 NORTH GLEBE RD., 8TH FL. ARLINGTON, VA. 22201-4714

GAMBEL EXAMINER		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1816	3 <i>8</i>	

DATE MAILED:

05/14/96

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS		
ADVISORY ACTION			
THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		
a) 🔲	extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection		
ь) 🗌	xpires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no vent however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.		
	ny extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. he date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the urposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR .17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.		
☐ App	llant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
App to p	cant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed ce the application in condition for allowance:		
1.	e proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:		
	There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.		
	They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).		
	They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).		
	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.		
	They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
I	DTE:		
_ 1	ewly proposed or amended claims 19 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling e non-allowable claims.		
3. 🗗	on the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 🚾 ill be entered 🔲 will not be entered and the status of the claims will as follows:		
	aims allowed:		
	aims objected to:		
	However		
_	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 1 there will "Exvivo," my cosal Call 112 117 FF cum 19, DIMW TO IN VITTED MONON UCEAN PHIGOCYTES	-\$	
4. 🗹 · -	ne affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because		
	e affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier esented.		
The p	posed drawing correction □ has □ has not been approved by the examiner.		
Other	CHRISTINA Y. CHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1800		